

176-7922

10 May 1976

JF

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence


FROM : Angus MacLean Thuermer
Assistant to the Director

SUBJECT : Martin Agronsky Show

Producer John Larkin of the Martin Agronsky Show has asked if you would be interested in appearing on Wednesday, Thursday, Friday or next Monday.

I said your schedule was very full and you'd indicated May is an especially heavy month, but I'd certainly run it by you.

STATINTL


Angus MacLean Thuermer


Can make it on _____

Can't make it X _____

now

Executive Registry


76-7922/1






I like Martin ^{12 MAY 1976}
He's basically anti-CIA

but I'll give this a
whirl - later - summer
or fall -

GB:

I called John Larkin & said you would really love to do show
in early september or thereabouts — 

25X1

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED	<input type="checkbox"/> CONFIDENTIAL	<input type="checkbox"/> SECRET	
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	DCI		
2			
3			
4			
5	STATINTL		
6			
<input type="checkbox"/>	ACTION	<input type="checkbox"/> DIRECT REPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> PREPARE REPLY
<input type="checkbox"/>	APPROVAL	<input type="checkbox"/> DISPATCH	<input type="checkbox"/> RECOMMENDATION
<input type="checkbox"/>	COMMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> FILE	<input type="checkbox"/> RETURN
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONCURRENCE	<input type="checkbox"/> INFORMATION	<input type="checkbox"/> SIGNATURE
Remarks:			
 Here's another friendly air-trine offer. Bogronsky STATINTL 			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
H/DCI			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED	<input type="checkbox"/> CONFIDENTIAL	<input type="checkbox"/> SECRET	

FORM NO. 1-67 237

Use previous editions

(40)

Executive Registry
 Room 7-E-12
 Headquarters

DAVE

BEN: If we are asked for specific 'info' now DARMAN let me hear what it is. All requests should mutually be made known to Secretary of State.

Bill Neikirk

A strange way to get at the facts



Bill Neikirk is a member of The Tribunes Washington Bureau. He is substituting for Jim Squires.

WASHINGTON—President Ford's cabinet-level task force on corporate bribery has begun an inquiry into perhaps the most explosive aspect of the scandal: Did the United States government know our multinational companies were greasing palms around the world?

The answer will be difficult to ferret out, given the nature of the problem and the government's own self-protective instincts. Nobody knows this better than Sen. Frank Church (D., Idaho), whose multinational subcommittee has been looking into the matter for months, with only mild success.

It's a question badly in need of an answer. "You can't propose remedies until you understand the problem," said Dick Darman, an aide to Commerce Secretary Elliot Richardson, who heads the government-wide task force.

BUT THE PRESIDENT'S task force is going about the job of finding out in a strange way. It has asked the "appropriate investigative agencies" to probe the matter for it.

Thus the investigating arms of the

Defense, State and Justice departments will be trying to discover whether the Defense, State, and Justice departments knew about the bribery payments—and in fact, tacitly condoned them.

Also, Darman said, "We've made some inquiries from the CIA." According to former CIA officials and congressional investigators, if any agency had known about the bribe payments in the 1960s and 1970s, it was the CIA. One former top-ranking CIA official said recently an agent wouldn't have been doing his job if he hadn't known about the payoffs.

The task force's motives may be perfectly honorable, but one wonders how realistic it is to expect these agencies, especially the CIA, to rat on themselves, if indeed there was government complicity in the payoffs.

Darman responds by saying the task force's role is limited primarily to making legislative recommendations—not conducting a new investigation. "We're not an investigative body," he said.

Thus the President's inquiry can only invite cynicism about its eventual findings. And that raises an entirely new question: Will we ever find out whether the government was aware of the foreign payoffs and whether they were condoned as an unwritten rule of foreign policy?

The subject is more complex than it appears. As Darman pointed out, complicity can mean anything from general suspicion that payoffs were being made to knowing about specific bribes. He believes that there was at least a low

degree of complicity by the government [knowing about bribes in a general way], but he doubts officials knew about specific payoffs.

Richardson, on the other hand, is reported to feel the U. S. government knew little, if anything. The secretary once served as the No. 2 man in the State Department and had a big hand in foreign policy.

Congressional investigators looking at the issue have concluded that either the State or Defense departments knew about them—or were so unbelievably incompetent that they didn't know about a practice that businesses have described as a "way of life" in some countries.

JAMES AKINS, former U. S. ambassador to Saudi Arabia who led a crusade against bribes and excessive agents' payments in that country, said it was difficult not to be informed about almost everything going on. "Gossip was the main form of entertainment," he said.

In fact, Akins said he had no doubt the British and French governments knew—and quietly condoned—the fact that their companies were making payoffs. The obvious implication is that the U. S. government, seeing the competitive atmosphere, did essentially the same thing.

The facts may never come out completely, but it would be reassuring if they did, for they might provide a needed catharsis and remove forever the use of bribes as a shadowy instrument of foreign policy.

The President's current investigation needs more teeth, more outside input, and more of a determination to get at the facts.

Executive Registry
Room 7-E-12
Headquarters

EXECUTIVE REGISTRY FILE

<input type="checkbox"/>	UNCLASSIFIED	<input type="checkbox"/>	CONFIDENTIAL	<input type="checkbox"/>	SECRET
--------------------------	--------------	--------------------------	--------------	--------------------------	--------

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT

Routing Slip

Executive Registry

76-7918

TO:

		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI / SA		X		
2	DDCI		X		
3	DDS&T	X			
4	DDI	X			
5	DDO	X			
6	DDM&SA	X			
7	D/DCI/IC	X			
8	DDONE				
9	OGC	X			
10	OLC	X			
11	IG	X			
12	D/DCI Compt				
13	D/Pers				
14	D/OS				
15	D/Tmg				
16	SAVA				
17	ASST/DCI				
18	AO/DCI				
19	H. Knoche		X		
20					
21					
22					

SUSPENSE

Date

Remarks:

Please see DCI's note to me and comply by forwarding news of any such requests to OGC for the DCI.

Executive Secretary
10 May 1976

Date

LONDON TIMES
29 APRIL 1976

Ben Evans

Action!!

CIA's evasion casts doubts on the integrity
of US journalists and others working abroad

Mr Ford fails to act over spies

From James Reston
Washington, April 28

In its censored report on the overseas operations of the Central Intelligence Agency, the Senate intelligence committee confirms that the CIA has been using United States reporters, academics, and even religious leaders as paid spies, but refuses to disclose the names of those involved.

Moreover, according to the committee, this practice is still going on, and all efforts by officials of the universities, churches and the media to get the facts so that the practice can be stopped, have been evaded by the CIA for years.

This raises troubling questions, the Senate committee observes, about preservation of the integrity of American academic institutions. It does more than that; it casts doubt on the operations of all media, religious and academic representatives abroad, without giving their institutions the opportunity of defending themselves against the corruption of their work. Several observations about this were made:

1. For years leading American newspapers have requested and received from the CIA assurances that none of their staff members was being used by the CIA as paid informers.

2. The answer usually given by the CIA was that this practice was common some time ago but had been discontinued at least as far as staff members of the newspapers were concerned. Maybe some "stringers" or part-time space rate reporters for American papers were used, but even this was not common CIA practice.

3. When detailed investigations by the House and Senate intelligence committees disclosed that this was not true

and that the practice continued, again the CIA refused to cooperate with the papers when they asked for a private list so they could clean house.

Still, the Senate committee report says that the CIA had covert relationships with about 50 American journalists or employees of United States media organizations until February, 1976, and continues to have relationships with more than half of them.

It adds that more than a dozen United States news organizations and commercial publishing houses formally provided cover for CIA agents abroad. A few of these organizations were unaware that they provided this cover.

This invites the inference that most of these news organizations and commercial publishing houses knowingly provided cover for spies and still do so, thus leaving the reader without a clue as to which news organizations are innocent and which are guilty of misleading the public.

A distinction should probably be made here between normal contacts by reporters with CIA agents, and using reporters as paid CIA agents. American reporters assigned abroad often seek information from CIA officials, and have usually found their information to be accurate, if not always complete.

This practice, particularly during the Vietnam war, often led to exchanging of information between the reporter and the agent, to the benefit of both. But reporters serving as paid agents of the Government is a different matter. Most reporters in Washington, for example, will not accept pay for going on talk shows for the official "Voice of America". Just they seem to be putting out the United States official propaganda line.

What is troubling about this

is that President Ford does not simply issue an order to the CIA to stop the practice. Some of us have talked to him privately about it and he does not condone it, nor does he deny the intelligence committee's report that the practice continues.

The dilemma is that he does not stop it himself or make available, in private if necessary, the information the media, the universities and the churches need to abolish the practice themselves.

It is common practice, of course, for communist governments to use what they call reporters as spies, and vice versa. Even some of the West European governments have used journalists as cover for their agents, but not until the Second World War did the United States Government consciously subvert its own reporters and academics.

The Senate intelligence committee report will now go to Congress for remedial action, and no doubt there will be closer control by Congress over the finances and covert operations of the CIA. But this will take time.

The CIA itself has been complaining, often with good cause, that the press was interfering with its legitimate intelligence-gathering functions, particularly in the publishing of the names of its spies. Here the reporters and others have some responsibility not to subvert their own profession or the essential work of the CIA, but this does not justify the CIA in trying to subvert the press.

Nor does it absolve the President. The CIA is his intelligence agency, and all he has to do is to call George Bush, its director, on the telephone to clear up the mess.—New York Times News Service.

10 MAY 1976

Executive Registry

76-2188

(EXCLUDED FROM RELEASE)

Barbara [unclear]

I wonder if we could get a

letter to Reston saying what facts are
what policy is. and CIA not subverting press,
and problem has been solved

who best to draft for my consideration
such a letter. I have not decided (and

Executive Registry
Again 7-E-12
[unclear]

to send me. But I
would be on draft!

Thoughtful -
concluding ~~not~~ from
against public news - but
a real effort to clear up the
mess!!



STATINTL

MAY 10 10 59 AM '76

ER

TRANSMITTAL SLIP

DATE

TO: ~~Ben Evans~~

ROOM NO.

BUILDING

REMARKS:

② Lost to DCI
will you pls try on
first draft & return
to me

③ Ben Evans

FROM:

DCI

ROOM NO.

BUILDING

EXTENSION

FORM NO. 241
1 FEB 53

REPLACES FORM 36-8
WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)

UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET
--------------	--------------	--------

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT

Routing Slip

TO:		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI				
2	DDCI				
3	S/MC				
4	DDS&T				
5	DDI				
6	DDA				
7	DDO	X			
8	D/DCI/IC				
9	D/DCI/NIO				
10	GC				
11	LC				
12	IG				
13	Compt				
14	D/Pers				
15	D/S				
16	DTR				
17	Asst/DCI				
18	AO/DCI				
19	C/IPS				
20					
21					
22					
SUSPENSE		Date			

Remarks:

Please see DCI's note to me and have someone try a first draft of a response. I will coordinate with the DDI and Mr. Thuermer, both of whom have a copy of the attached including DCI's note.

STATINTEL

10 May 1970

Date

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE
TO: ① Ben Evans		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
REMARKS:		
<p>② Lost to DCI will you pls try as first draft & return to me [] to may</p> <p>③ Ben Evans</p>		
FROM: DCI		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION

FORM NO. 241
1 FEB 55

REPLACES FORM 36-8
WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE
TO: Ben Evans		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
REMARKS:		
FROM: DCI		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION

LONDON TIMES
29 APRIL 1976

Ben & Jane

Action!!

CIA's evasion casts doubts on the integrity
of US journalists and others working abroad

Mr Ford fails to act over spies

From James Reston
Washington, April 28

In its censored report on the overseas operations of the Central Intelligence Agency, the Senate intelligence committee confirms that the CIA has been using United States reporters, academics, and even religious leaders as paid spies, but refuses to disclose the names of those involved.

Moreover, according to the committee, this practice is still going on, and all efforts by officials of the universities, churches and the media to get the facts so that the practice can be stopped, have been evaded by the CIA for years.

This raises troubling questions, the Senate committee observes, about preservation of the integrity of American academic institutions. It does more than that; it casts doubt on the operations of all media, religious and academic representatives abroad, without giving their institutions the opportunity of defending themselves against the corruption of their work. Several observations about this were made:

1. For years leading American newspapers have requested and received from the CIA assurances that none of their staff members was being used by the CIA as paid informers.

2. The answer usually given by the CIA was that this practice was common some time ago but had been discontinued at least as far as staff members of the newspapers were concerned. Maybe some "stringers" or part-time space rate reporters for American papers were used, but even this was not common CIA practice.

3. When detailed investigations by the House and Senate intelligence committees disclosed that this was not true

and that the practice continued, again the CIA refused to cooperate with the papers when they asked for a private list so they could clean house.

Still, the Senate committee report says that the CIA had covert relationships with about 50 American journalists or employees of United States media organizations until February, 1976, and continues to have relationships with more than half of them.

It adds that more than a dozen United States news organizations and commercial publishing houses formally provided cover for CIA agents abroad. A few of these organizations were unaware that they provided this cover.

This invites the inference that most of these news organizations and commercial publishing houses knowingly provided cover for spies and still do so, thus leaving the reader without a clue as to which news organizations are innocent and which are guilty of misleading the public.

A distinction should probably be made here between normal contacts by reporters with CIA agents, and using reporters as paid CIA agents. American reporters assigned abroad often seek information from CIA officials, and have usually found their information to be accurate, if not always complete.

This practice, particularly during the Vietnam war, often led to exchanging of information between the reporter and the agent, to the benefit of both. But reporters serving as paid agents of the Government is a different matter. Most reporters in Washington, for example, will not accept pay for going on talk shows for the official "Voice of America", lest they seem to be putting out the United States official propaganda line.

What is troubling about this

is, that President Ford does not simply issue an order to the CIA to stop the practice. Some of us have talked to him privately about it and he does not condone it, nor does he deny the intelligence committee's report that the practice continues.

The dilemma is that he does not stop it himself or make available, in private if necessary, the information the media, the universities and the churches need to abolish the practice themselves.

It is common practice, of course, for communist governments to use what they call reporters as spies, and vice versa. Even some of the West European governments have used journalists as cover for their agents, but not until the Second World War did the United States Government consciously subvert its own reporters and academics.

The Senate intelligence committee report will now go to Congress for remedial action, and no doubt there will be closer control by Congress over the finances and covert operations of the CIA. But this will take time.

The CIA itself has been complaining, often with good cause, that the press was interfering with its legitimate intelligence-gathering functions, particularly in the publishing of the names of its spies. Here the reporters and others have some responsibility not to subvert their own profession or the essential work of the CIA, but this does not justify the CIA in trying to subvert the press.

Nor does it absolve the President. The CIA is his intelligence agency, and all he has to do is to call George Bush, its director, on the telephone to clear up the mess.—New York Times News Service.

BEN:

I wonder if we could get a letter to Reston saying what facts are what policy is, and CIA not subverting press, and problem has been solved.

who best to draft for my consideration such a letter. I have not decided (arr.)

Executive Registry

76-2188

to send me. But I
would be on draft!

Thoughtful -
conclusionary, ~~not~~ from
against publish news - but
a real effort to clear up the
mess!!



25X1A

CIA-RDP79M00467A00270007000

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE


ANGUS:

Please ask someone to comply- very short.article
needed..

GB 5-14

Executive Registry

76-2244



Knights of Columbus

HARRY PRIBI SKE
Financial Secretary

R. Conroy Scaggins Council 5678

8815 Roos Road Houston, Texas 77036

MAY 8, 1976

GEORGE BUSH
DIRECTOR
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20505

DEAR MR. BUSH:

THE MEMBERS OF THIS SMALL KNIGHTS OF COLUMBUS COUNCIL IN THE CITY OF HOUSTON WOULD BE VERY HONORED IF YOU WOULD BE SO KIND AS TO WRITE A FEW WORDS ABOUT THE BI-CENTENNIAL FOR THE FRONT PAGE OF OUR JULY MONTHLY PUBLICATION, "THE CHALLENGER," A COPY OF WHICH IS ENCLOSED.

WE HAVE A SMALL COUNCIL OF APPROXIMATELY 250 MEMBERS, BUT OUR MAILING LIST INCLUDES THE TOP OFFICIALS OF THE KNIGHTS OF COLUMBUS IN THE STATE OF TEXAS.

I AM SURE THAT YOU ARE AWARE OF OUR ORGANIZATION THAT WAS ORIGINATED IN 1882 BY FATHER MICHAEL MCGIVNEY AND NOW HAS MEMBERS IN ALL THE FIFTY STATES, PARTS OF CANADA, SOUTH AMERICA AND THE PHILIPPINES.

WE UNDERSTAND THAT YOU ARE EXTREMELY BUSY BUT WE WANT TO PLEASE ASK YOU TO GIVE US YOUR PERSONAL THOUGHTS ON THE SPIRIT OF THE BI-CENTENNIAL YEAR AND INCLUDE A PICTURE OF YOURSELF WHICH WE CAN PUBLISH ALONG WITH YOUR SHORT ARTICLE.

WE THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION AND WE ARE IN HOPES THAT THIS LETTER WILL FIND YOU AND YOUR FAMILY IN THE BEST OF HEALTH. THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL #5678 ARE VERY HAPPY THAT YOU ARE BACK IN THE UNITED STATES AND HOPE THAT YOU MAY HAVE CONTINUED SUCCESS IN YOUR PRESENT POSITION AND WE WISH YOU THE VERY BEST OF LUCK.

MAY WE PLEASE HEAR FROM YOU WITHIN THE NEXT FEW WEEKS.

SINCERELY YOURS,

LARRY BABBITT
EDITOR
THE CHALLENGER
KNIGHTS OF COLUMBUS COUNCIL #5678
P. O. Box 36466
HOUSTON, TEXAS 77036

EXECUTIVE REGISTRY FILE

Executive Registry
Room 7-E-12
Headquarters



Published Monthly By
and For the Members of
THE KNIGHTS OF COLUMBUS
R. CONROY SCOGGINS COUNCIL 5678
P. O. Box 36466
Houston, Texas 77036



Address Correction Requested
Return Postage Guaranteed

Nonprofit Org.
U. S. POSTAGE
PAID
HOUSTON, TX
PERMIT No. 577

MEETINGS 2nd and 4th THURSDAYS / 11031 S. GESSNER, 8 PM

MAY 1976

Mother's Day

FOR MOTHERS ONLY..... through the busy year, perhaps we sometimes take for granted the love and many good works you do for us and the family, we guess that's why they call us human. MOTHER'S DAY will give each of us an opportunity to show you our appreciation, in our own special way..... As a group, we at Council #5678 want to say to all the Mothers, THANKS for just being you!!!! FELIZ DIA DE MADRES!!!!

COMING ATTRACTIONS

May 1	Breaux Bridge Crawfish Festival and Horse Races	May 23	Corporate Communion Soft Ball Try-Outs
May 1 & 2	St. Francis Church Bazaar	May 27	Regular Meeting Perpetual Care Presentation
May 2	V.A. Hospital Visitation	May 31	Memorial Day
May 6	Officers Meeting	June 5	Soft Ball Tournament and Try-Outs
May 9	MOTHER'S DAY	June 6	Colored "Squares" St. Joseph Bazaar - Brazoria, Texas
May 13	Regular Meeting Deadline for "1492" Charity Fund Home Association Meeting	June 13	CYO Southwest Soft Ball Tournament
May 15-19	72nd Annual State Convention	July 17 & 18	K of C Houston Chapter Soft Ball Tournament